

Panek, Lilian

Von: SEBAOUN Katy (Responsable de groupe) - CEREMA/DTerNP/CGI/ETI
<Katy.Sebaoun@cerema.fr>
Gesendet: Donnerstag, 15. September 2016 10:04
An: Panek, Lilian
Cc: CHARBONNIER
Betreff: Re: CEN/TC 226/WG 3 Prolongation reply period options paper N 287
available on DIN Livelink server
Anlagen: Résultats enquête WG3 - english.pdf

Dear Lilian,

Here is the answer of the French mirror committee to the enquiry : the French mirror committee votes for the options 1a and 3 at equal number of votes.

Please find attached to the this e-mail the detailed results of the french enquiry with some comments.

In summary :

- the choice of option 1a is mainly for economical reason : if we change test methods in the standard, manufacturers will probably have to change test equipments,
- the choice of option 3 is mainly technical and practical as this option is nearer of the reality of use and also it allows the development of new products.

Best regards

Katy Sebaoun
Département CGI / Responsable du groupe Équipements Travaux Innovations
tél : 03 23 06 18 16



Centre d'études et d'expertise sur les risques, l'environnement, la mobilité et l'aménagement -
www.cerema.fr

Direction territoriale Nord-Picardie - 44 ter, rue Jean Bart CS 20275 - 59019 Lille Cedex
Siège social : Cité des Mobilités - 25 avenue François Mitterrand - CS 92 803 - 69674 Bron Cedex
- tél : +33 (0)4 72 14 30 30

Le 03/08/2016 17:30, > Lilian Panek (par Internet) a écrit :

CEN/TC 226/WG 3 Working group Vertical signs
Secretariat: DIN

Dear member

Please note that the following new documents have been posted on the DIN Livelink server:

N Number	Title (Description)	Exp. Action	Due Date	Version Date
288	Prolongation of reply/Input period for options paper N 287	Reply	2016-09-15	2016-08-03

Download all documents as ZIP : [ZIP-file](#)

Access to CEN/TC 226/WG 3 : [Committee Homepage](#)

Please inform us if you have any difficulty accessing the above documents. For help with technical problems please contact livelink-help@din.de.

With best regards

Dipl.-Ing. Lilian Panek

The contents of this e-mail (including any attachments) are confidential and may be legally privileged.

If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of its contents is strictly prohibited, and you should please notify the sender immediately and then delete it (including any attachments) from your system.

Thank you.

WG3/PT1a enquiry – Results for France

18 voters for 20 votes (2 choices indicated for 2 voters) – 3 abstentions declared

Option	1a	1b	2	3
Votes number	7	3	3	7

Choice of the national mirror committee (in decreasing order) :

- 1) Option 1a and 3 equal
- 2) Options 1b et 2 equal

Comments (for only a part of the voters) :

Option 1a :

Gains and impacts for users and manufacturers to make evolve the means of measurement and the number of the classes are insufficient to justify the changes of options n°2 and 3.

Option 1b :

No comment

Option 2 :

- 1) This option chosen is related to the fact that it is indeed necessary to evolve the tables of measures but keeping a notion of class in order to ensure harmonization.
- 2) The options 2 and 3 would better since they would require a logical recasting of the geometries and table of measurement. The choice would be on the proposal 2 since, for us manufacturer of road signs, and also regarding to the final customer, it would be more simple to choose (or to advise a client on) a film corresponding to a single class R3. A film would therefore be certified either in R1, either in R2 or either in R3. Unless the European standard could have the 3 classes R3 and that France chooses to use a single class R3! What is another solution to achieve the same result: simplify the choice of the customer (and limit the stocks in manufacturing).
- 3) This option allows to make the tables of measures change, to limit the stocks of films and to simplify the customer choice.

Option 3:

- 1) New classes nearest the need user and allowing him to specify performances better adapted to the scenarios of implantation : short, medium and long distances.
- 2) solution better adapted to take into account the microprismatics and to differentiate the different cases of performances corresponding to cases of use on the road.
- 3) options 2 and 3 allow to meet the needs of users in terms of luminance to short/medium and long distance. However, the option 3 has this advantage: to highlight the microprismatic products and the innovations. It also offers the possibility to customer to have a wider choice of classes to meet specific needs. For example, a efficient class at a short distance such as the 3A allows in large cities with important lighting to highlight the signs. It nevertheless remains to define the minima.